

Enclosure 11

IT University Board Self-evaluation Questionnaire

Board Member:

Date for talk:

Select only one

The Board´s size and composition

- | | Yes | No | ? |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1) Does the ITU Board have the right size ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Comments/suggestions: | | | |
| 2) Does the recruitment of new ITU Board Members take place in an appropriate manner ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Comments/suggestions: | | | |
| 3) Does the Board, as a whole, have the right qualifications within | | | |
| • Higher education | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Research | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Finances | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Market conditions | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Strategy | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • IT | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Management | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Comments/suggestions: | | | |
| 4) Does the Board have the right composition of persons in relation to | | | |
| • Fields of qualifications? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Age distribution? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Comments/suggestions: | | | |
| 5) In which way do you yourself contribute to the work of the ITU Board ? | | | |
| Comments: | | | |

Board Meetings

- | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 6) Is the material send out previous to the meetings | | | |
| • Sufficiently clear and comprehensible? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Of adequate proportions ? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| • Sufficient as basis for decisions? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments/suggestions:

- 7) Is the agenda for the board meetings adequate in relation to matters relevant to discuss on the Board ?

Comments/suggestions:

- 8) Is further information between the board meetings necessary ?

Comments/suggestions:

- 9) Do the Members of the Board have sufficient access to the information needed?

Comments/suggestions:

- 10) Is the present number of yearly meetings satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

- 11) Do the board meetings have the right length?

Comments/suggestions:

- 12) Is enough time allowed to discuss the individual items on the agenda at the board meetings?

Comments/suggestions:

- 13) Is the dialogue sufficiently open and free and does everybody get a chance to speak?

Comments/suggestions:

- 14) Do the individual Board Members take an active part in the board meetings?

Comments/suggestions:

- 15) Do the individual Board Members contribute with independent attitudes to the issues being discussed at the board meetings?

Comments/suggestions:

- 16) Is the clarification of the issues being discussed at the board meetings adequate?

Comments/suggestions:

The Board's work

- 17) Is the Board's current evaluation of the budget of the IT University satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

- 18) Is the Board's current taking a stand on the strategic conditions of the IT University satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

- 19) Does the Board sufficiently focus on the risk factors that can influence the results of the IT University in the future?

Comments/suggestions:

- 20) Does the Board focus on the right problems?

Comments/suggestions:

- 21) Does the Board supervise the activities of the IT University sufficiently ?

Comments/suggestions:

- 22) Does the Board enter into an active interaction with the Management concerning the development of the IT University?

Comments/suggestions:

- 23) Is the interaction between the Board and the Management satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

- 24) As a whole, is the work of the ITU Board satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

The Board's chairmanship

- 25) Is the interaction between the Board and the Chairman/chairmanship of the Board satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

- 26) Is the management of the Board's work efficient?

Comments/suggestions:

- 27) Does the Chairman/chairmanship of the Board make sure that the Board has focus on the right problems?

Comments/suggestions:

- 28) Does the Chairman of the Board make sure that the board meetings are enough efficient and constructive?

Comments/suggestions:

29) Does the Chairman/chairmanship of the Board make sure that a reasonable and regular evaluation of the strategies and the implementation of these takes place?

Comments/suggestions:

30) Does the Chairman/chairmanship of the Board get the most out of the Board?

- During the board meetings
- Out of the board meetings

Comments/suggestions:

Evaluation of the Board

31) Is it relevant to have regular evaluations of

- The individual Board Member's commitment and qualifications on the Board?
- The Board's composition, efficiency etc., as a whole?

Comments/suggestions:

Evaluation of the Executive Management

32) Is the work of the Management satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

Evaluation of the co-operation between the Board and the Management

33) Is the co-operation between the Board and the Management satisfactory?

Comments/suggestions:

Other conditions

34) Should other conditions, among these suggestions to improvements of the work of the ITU Board, be part of the discussion?

Comments/suggestions: